Research article

**Single versus double drainage in chronic subdural hematomas treated with burr-holes**

Drenaje único *versus* doble en hematomas subdurales crónicos tratados con trepanación

Ernesto Enrique Horta-Tamayo1,2\* <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1292-1689>

Luis Cesar Acosta-González3 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6463-4243>

Diana Rosa Ortega-Raez3 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6992-3570>

Lázaro Noel Parra-Vidal3 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7195-7817>

Martha Suárez-Cruz4 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4608-4903>

1Universidad de Ciencias Médicas “Mariana Grajales Coello”. Holguín, Cuba.

2Hôpital de Référence de Maradi. Maradi, Niger.

3Universidad de Ciencias Médicas “Mariana Grajales Coello”. Hospital Clínico Quirúrgico “Lucía Iñiguez Landín”. Servicio de Neurocirugía. Holguín, Cuba.

4Universidad de Ciencias Médicas “Mariana Grajales Coello”. Policlínico Universitario “Máximo Gómez Báez". Holguín, Cuba.

**ABSTRACT**

**Introduction:** Chronic subdural hematoma is a frequent type of intracranial hemorrhage. Treatment for symptomatic cases is generally surgical. Burr-hole completion is the method of choice.

**Objective:** To analyze the placement of a single versus double drain in patients with chronic subdural hematoma operated through burr-holes.

**Methods:** An analytical correlational retrospective study was carried out in patients who underwent surgery for chronic subdural hematoma, between January 2018 and December 2020. The patients were divided into 2 groups based on the number of drains used: double or single. The variables were: age, morbidities, preoperative Glasgow Score, hematoma characteristics (laterality, internal architecture, maximum diameter, and midline shift), complications, and hospital stay. The probability value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results:** Of the 99 patients in the study, 39 were older than 75 years. The most frequent pattern was B with 25 cases (25,3 %). The diameter of the chronic subdural hematoma was more than 20 mm in 61 cases, and in 32 cases the displacement of the midline structures was greater than 10 mm. The average hospital stays 5,8 days. The most frequent complication was nosocomial pneumonia with eleven cases (11,11 %). The double drainage group showed fewer complications at 30 days.

**Conclusions:** The placement of double subdural drainage in patients with chronic subdural hematoma operated through single or double burr-holes, and is related to fewer complications than those with single drainage.

**Keywords:** chronic subdural hematoma; surgical treatment; drainage.

**RESUMEN**

**Introducción:** El hematoma subdural crónico es un tipo frecuente de hemorragia intracraneal. El tratamiento de los casos sintomáticos es generalmente quirúrgico. La terminación con agujeros de trépano es el método de elección.

**Objetivo:** Analizar la colocación de drenaje simple versus doble en pacientes con hematoma subdural crónico operados mediante trepanación.

**Métodos:** Se realizó un estudio retrospectivo correlacional analítico en pacientes operados de hematoma subdural crónico, entre enero de 2018 y diciembre de 2020. Los pacientes se dividieron en 2 grupos según el número de drenajes utilizados: doble o simple. Las variables fueron: edad, morbilidades, puntaje de Glasgow preoperatorio, características del hematoma (lateralidad, arquitectura interna, diámetro máximo y desviación de la línea media), complicaciones y estancia hospitalaria. El valor de probabilidad < 0,05 se consideró estadísticamente significativo.

**Resultados:** De los 99 pacientes del estudio, 39 eran mayores de 75 años. El patrón más frecuente fue el B con 25 casos (25,3 %). El diámetro del hematoma subdural crónico fue mayor de 20 mm en 61 casos, y en 32 casos el desplazamiento de las estructuras de la línea media fue mayor de 10 mm. La estancia hospitalaria media fue de 5,8 días. La complicación más frecuente fue la neumonía nosocomial con once casos (11,11 %). El grupo de doble drenaje presentó menos complicaciones a los 30 días.

**Conclusiones:** La colocación de drenaje subdural doble en pacientes con hematoma subdural crónico operados mediante trepanación simple o doble, se relaciona con menos complicaciones que aquellos con drenaje simple.

**Palabras clave:** hematoma subdural crónico; tratamiento quirúrgico; drenaje.

Recibido: 15/11/2022

Aprobado: 21/03/2023

**INTRODUCTION**

Chronic subdural hematoma (CSDH) is an encapsulated collection of blood and fluid on the surface of the brain. Historically considered to be a result of head trauma, although recent evidence suggests that more complex processes are involved.(1)

Despite being a long-standing disease, many aspects of the epidemiology and natural history of CSDH remain to be elucidated. The global incidence is 1,72% to 20,6% per 100,000 people per year in the general population, being more common in the elderly.(2)

Multiple factors can modify the risk of developing CSDH, including older age and male gender. The increasing use of anticoagulants and antiplatelet drugs has also been associated with its progression.(2)

The formation of CSDH has not been clarified, but its pathophysiology rests on two fundamental theories: the osmotic gradient theory and the theory of recurrent bleeding, coming from the hematoma capsule, associated with hyperfibrinolysis. The gradual expansion of the hematoma by any of these mechanisms is responsible for the progression of symptoms.(3)

The treatment modality should be in accordance with the patient's symptoms and her clinical status. The non-surgical strategy includes the use of anti-inflammatory drugs such as dexamethasone, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, antifibrinolytics, and statins.(4)

There are several surgical techniques, such as Twist Drill (TD), burr hole craniotomy (BHC), and craniotomy/mini-craniotomy. In addition, there are other newly incorporated surgical variants such as embolization of the middle meningeal artery, and the use of endoscopy for solid clots.(4) Each of these variants have indications, risks and differences between their results, as well as controversies in their use.

Recurrence of CSDH after treatment may occur in 3-20 % of all treated patients. Although a higher rate was found in conservatively managed patients, selection of high-risk patients for surgical intervention is likely to influence differences in recurrence rates.(2)

Many radiological parameters of CSDH have been reported to be associated with the risk of recurrence: unilateral or bilateral presence, thickness, midline shift, density and internal architecture, brain atrophy, and hematoma volume.(5)

However, studies have shown conflicting results and large discrepancies in recurrence rates due to heterogeneity in treatment, radiological measurement techniques, and variation in hematoma classifications by density or architecture.(5)

There are many controversies regarding surgical treatment by BHC: its single or double performance; the membranectomy; the use of drains, their duration and optimal place of placement; whether it is subdural or subgaleal; etc.

Few studies have investigated the variation in the number of drains placed in relation to recurrence, complications, or length of hospital stay.

In the present study, the possible influence of the placement of single or double drains in the cohort was analyzed. The following hypothesis is proposed: do patients with CSDH treated with double postoperative drainage have fewer complications at 30 days than those with single drainage? The objective was to analyze complications in patients with single or double drainage operated with CSDH.

**METHOD**

A correlational retrospective study was carried out in patients undergoing surgery for CSDH, at the Lucía Iñiguez Landín Clinical-Surgical Hospital, between January 2018 and December 2020.

The universe consisted of a total of 152 patients with a diagnosis of CSDH. Intentional sampling was applied through inclusion and exclusion criteria to obtain the sample. This was divided into 2 groups; the first formed by patients who used a subdural drain (n= 65), and the second group was in which two postoperative drains were used (n= 34). The total sample was 99 patients.

Inclusion criteria:

* Patients with a diagnosis of unilateral CSDH
* Operated by single or double BHC technique

Exclusion criteria:

* Presence of juxtadural or bilateral CSDH
* Intervention through craniotomies and mini-craniotomies
* Inadequate preparation of the clinical history

The information was obtained from medical records and imaging studies available on the image server of the Lucía Iñiguez Landín Hospital. The following variables were evaluated in both groups:

* Age (years old)
* Co-morbidities (previously diagnosed diseases)
* Preoperative Glasgow Score (assessed at admission)
* Characteristics of the hematoma (laterality, internal architecture according to the Nakaguchi scale,(13) maximum diameter and displacement of reference structures from the midline)
* Post-surgical complications at 30 days
* Hospital stay (days).


For data processing, the Office Excel 2007 program was used. For statistical processing, the MYSTAT® software, version 12.02.00, was used. Continuous, normally distributed variables were expressed as means ± standard deviation (SD), qualitative variables were presented as total sum (with percentages). Statistical comparisons between single and double drain groups, with Pearson's correlation coefficient for quantitative variables. The probability value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

The data was obtained with the approval by the Scientific Research Ethics Committee and the Institution's Scientific Council, by resolution no. 07/2021. The ethical principles for this study were complied with in accordance with the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki.

**RESULTS**

Of the 99 patients in the study, 39 of them were older than 75 years of age (table 1). Of the total number of cases, 34 suffered from arterial hypertension (AHT) and 4 from diabetes mellitus, 7 patients had a history of cerebrovascular disease and 8 had chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; 7 patients reported a history of ischemic heart disease.


**Table 1 -** Distribution of patients in arithmetic mean and standard deviation according to number of post-surgical drainage



At admission, most patients in the single drainage group (57 patients) presented a Glasgow Score greater than 12 points, representing 87,69 %, while only 8,82 % of the cases in the double drainage group presented a lower score (table 2).

In the initial CT studies, the most frequent pattern was pattern B, with 25 cases (25,3 %) and the least frequent was pattern E, with only 8 cases (8,1 %). The diameter of the CSDH was more than 20 mm in 61 cases, and in 32 cases, the displacement of the midline structures was greater than 10 mm.

**Table 2 -** Distribution of pre-operative variables in absolute number and percentage according to number of drainages




AHT: arterial hypertension; DM: diabetes mellitus; CVD: cardiovascular disease; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

Hospital stay averaged 5,8 days. The most frequent complication was pneumonia associated with health services with 11 cases (11,11 %) in the single drainage group, while cerebral edema was only observed in 1,01 % of the patients in the double drainage group.

A significant difference (p= 0,009) was found around the complication variables, so the double drainage group showed fewer complications at 60 days (table 3).

**Table 3 -** Distribution of post-operative variables in absolute number and percentage according to number of drainages



AHT: arterial hypertension; ARF: acute respiratory failure; PE; pulmonary embolism; DVT: deep vein thrombosis.

**DISCUSSION**

CSDH is a form of intracranial hemorrhage common in older adults. Optimal treatment remains controversial. CSDH surgery in older adults leads to favorable neurological outcomes, with a higher risk of systemic complications, recurrence or re-intervention of the hematoma, compared to younger patients.(6)

With regard to hospital stay, in patients with single drainage it was shorter, a fact that differs from the study by *Pahatouridis* et al*.*(7) in which the duration was longer, which corresponds to the double drainage group of the present investigation; the highest percentage of patients were operated on for a single burr hole and with a single drain, which differs from the design of the Greek study, which is why the length of stay in this group was longer.

CT is a powerful tool to diagnose subdural hematoma and to define its prognostic factors.(8) The modified Nakaguchi classification(9) allows estimating the degree of recurrence in the postoperative period, in particular, CSDH with hyperdense components or with laminar or separated architecture, have higher rates of recurrence.(8,10) In the series presented by the authors, the lowest number of patients in both groups presented these types, which is related to the low incidence of rebleeding obtained.

Evacuation of the hematoma through single or double burr-holes is the treatment of choice, due to its low morbidity and mortality rates and its less invasive nature.(7)

In the present series, the largest number of patients underwent surgery for a single trephine, taking into account the premise that unilocular CSDH can be efficiently drained and irrigated, without the need for an additional burr-hole, as a single cavity containing a fluid liquid. Performing a single burr-hole has also been associated with less surgical time and a low recurrence rate.(11)

The use of subdural drains results in a significantly improved postoperative image, which provides a valuable tool for postoperative imaging and clinical correlation.(12)

*Nakaguchi* et al.(13) in 2000 reported that patients with single frontal drainage showed a lower rate of recurrence than those with occipital or parietal drainage following BHC.Other authors show recurrence rates, for the placement of single frontal drains, between five and 11,4 %.(14)

There are few studies evaluating the placement of one versus two subdural drains. *Gernsback* et al.(15) reported that there is a lower tendency for recurrence in those patients with two drains compared to the group with a single drain, but this difference was not significant.

However, *Qiangjun Wu* et al.(14) in 2020, conclude that the placement of double drains is superior to the placement of a single frontal drain in the surgical treatment of CSDH with a significantly lower recurrence rate. These results agree with the results of our study.

Among the limitations of this study are: the low number of cases, the patients were not operated on by the same surgical team, the choice of the number of drains was at the decision of the acting surgeon, the short post-surgical follow-up time, and only post-operative head CT was performed in patients who presented clinical deterioration or persistent neurological deficit.

The placement of double subdural drainage in patients with CSDH operated through single or double burr-holes is related to fewer complications than those with single drainage.

**BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCES**

1. Edlmann E, Giorgi-Coll S, Whitfield PC, Carpenter KLH, Hutchinson PJ. Pathophysiology of chronic subdural haematoma: inflammation, angiogenesis and implications for pharmacotherapy. J Neuroinflammation diciembre de 2017 [acceso: 14/08/2022]; 14(1):108. Disponible en: <http://jneuroinflammation.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12974-017-0881-y>

2. Yang W, Huang J. Chronic Subdural Hematoma: Epidemiology and Natural History. Neurosurg Clin. 2017 [acceso: 14/08/2022]; 28(2):205-10. Disponible en: <https://www.neurosurgery.theclinics.com/article/S1042-3680(16)30099-7/fulltext>

3. Wong-Achi X, Cabrera D. Pathogenesis and pathophysiology of chronic subdural hematoma. Rev Mex Neurocienc. 2016 [acceso: 14/08/2022]; 17(4):78-85. Disponible en: <https://www.medigraphic.com/cgi-bin/new/resumenI.cgi?IDARTICULO=69098>

4. Tamura R, Sato M, Yoshida K, Toda M. History and current progress of chronic subdural hematoma. J Neurol Sci. 2021 [acceso: 14/08/2022]; 429:118066. Disponible en: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0022510X21007620>

5. Shen J, Yuan L, Ge R, Wang Q, Zhou W, Jiang XC, et al. Clinical and radiological factors predicting recurrence of chronic subdural hematoma: A retrospective cohort study. Injury. 2019 [acceso: 14/08/2022]; 50(10):1634-40. Disponible en: [https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31445831/](file:///C:\E:\%20https:\pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov\31445831\)

6. Shlobin NA, Kedda J, Wishart D, Garcia RM, Rosseau G. Surgical Management of Chronic Subdural Hematoma in Older Adults: A Systematic Review. Magaziner J, editor. J Gerontol Ser A. 2021 [acceso: 14/08/2022]; 76(8):1454-62. Disponible en: <https://academic.oup.com/biomedgerontology/article/76/8/1454/5998257>

7. Pahatouridis D, Alexiou GA, Fotakopoulos G, Mihos E, Zigouris A, Drosos D, et al. Chronic subdural haematomas: a comparative study of an enlarged single burr hole versus double burr hole drainage. Neurosurg Rev. 2013 [acceso: 14/08/2022]; 36(1):151-5. Disponible en: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10143-012-0412-3>

8. Miah IP, Tank Y, Rosendaal FR, Peul WC, Dammers R, Lingsma HF, et al. Radiological prognostic factors of chronic subdural hematoma recurrence: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Neuroradiology. 2021 [acceso: 14/08/2022]; 63(1):27-40. Disponible en: [https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00234-020-02558-x](file:///C:\E:\%20https:\link.springer.com\10.1007\s00234-020-02558-x)

9. Takei J, Hirotsu T, Hatano K, Ishibashi T, Inomata T, Noda Y, et al. Modified Computed Tomography Classification for Chronic Subdural Hematoma Features Good Interrater Agreement: A Single-Center Retrospective Cohort Study. World Neurosurg. 2021 [acceso: 14/08/2022]; 151:e407-17. Disponible en: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33892165/>

10. Jensen TSR, Andersen-Ranberg N, Poulsen FR, Bergholt B, Hundsholt T, Fugleholm K. The Danish Chronic Subdural Hematoma Study—comparison of hematoma age to the radiological appearance at time of diagnosis. Acta Neurochir (Wien). 2020 [acceso: 14/08/2022]; 162(9):2007-13. Disponible en: [https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00701-020-04472-w](file:///C:\E:\%20https:\link.springer.com\10.1007\s00701-020-04472-w)

11. Salama H. Outcome of single burr hole under local anesthesia in the management of chronic subdural hematoma. Egypt J Neurosurg. 2019 [acceso: 14/08/2022]; 34(1):8. Disponible en: <https://EJNS.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s41984-019-0033-z>

12. Glancz LJ, Poon MTC, Coulter IC, Hutchinson PJ, Kolias AG, Brennan PM. Does Drain Position and Duration Influence Outcomes in Patients Undergoing Burr-Hole Evacuation of Chronic Subdural Hematoma? Lessons from a UK Multicenter Prospective Cohort Study. Neurosurgery. 2019 [acceso: 14/08/2022];85(4):486-93. Disponible en: <https://journals.lww.com/10.1093/neuros/nyy366>

13. Nakaguchi H, Tanishima T, Yoshimasu N. Relationship between drainage catheter location and postoperative recurrence of chronic subdural hematoma after burr-hole irrigation and closed-system drainage. J Neurosurg. 2000 [acceso: 14/08/2022]; 93(5):791-5. Disponible en: <https://thejns.org/view/journals/j-neurosurg/93/5/article-p791.xml>

14. Wu Q, Liu Q, Chen D, Chen Z, Huang X, Luo M, et al. Subdural drainage techniques for single burr-hole evacuation of chronic subdural hematoma: two drains frontal-occipital position versus one drain frontal position. Br J Neurosurg. 2021 [acceso: 14/08/2022];35(3):324-8. Disponible en: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/02688697.2020.1812520>

15. Gernsback J, Kolcun JPG, Jagid J. To Drain or Two Drains: Recurrences in Chronic Subdural Hematomas. World Neurosurg. 2016 [acceso: 14/08/2022]; 95:447-50. Disponible en: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1878875016307379>

**Conflict of interests**

The authors deny any conflict of interest.

**Authorship contribution**

Conceptualization: *Ernesto Enrique Horta Tamayo.*

Data curation: *Ernesto Enrique Horta Tamayo.*

Formal analysis: *Ernesto Enrique Horta Tamayo.*

Research: *Ernesto Enrique Horta Tamayo, Luis Cesar Acosta González.*

Methodology: *Ernesto Enrique Horta Tamayo.*

Resources: *Diana Rosa Ortega Raez, Lázaro Noel Parra Vidal, Martha Suárez-Cruz.*

Drafting – original draft: *Ernesto Enrique Horta Tamayo, Luis Cesar Acosta González, Diana Rosa Ortega Raez.*

Drafting - revision and editing: *Ernesto Enrique Horta Tamayo, Luis Cesar Acosta González.*

The authors deny any conflict of interest.